Wavefront Error Reconstruction and Companion Detection for Full Pupil and AMI Imaging

Matthew De Furio

University of Michigan

In collaboration with:

Marie Ygouf, Graca Rocha, Alexandra Greenbaum, Michael Meyer, Charles Beichman

AMI + KPI Workshop Montreal July 25-27

What makes up WFE? Total WFE for OTE+NRCA3 at (1024.0)

- Total WFE of a given observation includes:
 - Contribution from optical telescope element $(OTE) \rightarrow will drift$
 - SI WFE \rightarrow will be stable
- Information for simulations:
 - WebbPSF predicted OTE OPD (multiple realizations)
 - WebbPSF predicted SI WFE: set of 37 Zernikes based on detector position
- Information for real data:
 - OTE wavefront measurement about every 2 days
 - SI wavefront measurement
 - WFE drift realization



34 3 nm rms

Optical model for NIRCam wavefront error

OTE OPD model: ('IWST OTE OPD RevAA prelaunch predicted.fits.gz', 4)

NIRCam, detector NRCA3 at (1024, 0), aperture = NRCA3 FULI (V2, V3): (0.863, -9.339) arcmin

All WFE shown as projected to OTE entrance pupil orientation



Pred: 52

34.3 nm rms



(high s.f.)

OTE vibe

ISIM struct. align

4.0 nm rm

0.0 nm rm

+

Pred: 52







What makes up WFE?

- High frequency errors are static
- Low frequency errors are expected to be small + well understood
- WFE drift appears to be segment specific



Optical model for NIRCam wavefront error

OTE OPD model: ('IWST OTE OPD RevAA prelaunch predicted.fits.gz', 4)

NIRCam, detector NRCA3 at (1024, 0), aperture = NRCA3 FULL (V2, V3): (0.863, -9.339) arcmin

All WFE shown as projected to OTE entrance pupil orientation

Pred: 38

45°, 14.0 d

Pred: 34

Pred: 52

+

0.0 nm rms

43 3 nm rm

ISIM struct. align



34.3 nm rms

Pred: 52



OTE thermal drifts

43.7 nm rms

46 7 nm rms

34.3 nm rms

FOI

OTE controllable mode residuals

OTE uncontrollable WFE (high sf)

Pred: 42

Pred: 0

OTE field-dependent WFE (low sf)







Reg: 69

Pred: 41

What makes up WFE?

- WFE drift appears to be segment specific
- Figure shows ∆WFE over 30 hrs w/40 degree pitch angle motion



Estimating WFE

- WebbPSF/Poppy model optical system of JWST
- Use Zernike/Hexike basis to reconstruct image
- Zernike basis addresses global WFE
- Hexike basis is same as Zernike but specific to each hexagonal segment



Estimating WFE

- WebbPSF/Poppy model optical system of JWST
- Use Zernike/Hexike basis to reconstruct image
- Zernike basis addresses global WFE
- Hexike basis is same as Zernike but specific to each hexagonal segment



Estimating w/Zernikes

Zernike Basis – Full Pupil Imaging

Final image

1e-7

• Simulate image with OTE + SI WFE and photon noise

1e-7

Simulated Image

 Fit 20 Zernike coefficients + scene parameters (position and flux of primary and companion)





How well does it work?

Removing Primary



Zernike Basis – AMI Imaging

107

106

105

104

5-o contrast

hoton noise limi

- Simulate image with OTE + SI WFE and photon noise
- Fit 20 Zernike coefficients + scene parameters (position and flux of primary and companion)



Hexike Basis – Full Pupil Imaging

 Fit three Hexikes per segment: Piston+tip+tilt







How well does it work?

Removing Primary



Removing Primary and Companion



Hexike Basis – AMI Imaging

 Fit three Hexikes per segment: Piston+tip+tilt







How well does it work?



Removing Primary and Companion



Summary

- Developed routine to simultaneously recover WFE and companion
- Implemented estimation routine in full pupil and AMI observing modes with a Zernike and Hexike basis
- Successfully recovered 5mag contrast companion
- Working to improve WFE recovery with combination of Zernike + Hexikes bases
- Apply technique to more realistic simulations (e.g. ami_sim)